



Remote Estimation Games over Shared Networks

Marcos Vasconcelos & Nuno Martins

marcos@umd.edu nmartins@umd.edu

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Institute of Systems Research University of Maryland, College Park

52nd Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing

October 1st, 2014

Motivation



Context

- Networked control systems
- Decision Makers cooperate or compete to achieve certain goals

Network models

- Incomplete graphs
- Rate-limited point-to-point channels
- Additive White Gaussian Noise
- Analog Erasure channel



Interference

- Multiple agents sharing a communication medium
- Physical layer: Multiple Access Channel, Interference Channel
- MAC/Network layer: Collision Channel



Channel Model

- DM chooses to transmit or not
- •Collision when two or more
- DMs transmit
- •Simplest model for interference

Problem Statement



$$S_i = \begin{cases} X_i, & \text{if } U_i = 1\\ \varnothing, & \text{if } U_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Each sensor-estimator pair minimizes its own cost functional $J_i(\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \eta_i) = E[(X_i - \hat{X}_i)^2]$

Previous work



Vasconcelos & Martins (Allerton '13)

- Team decision problem Focus on full cooperation
- Proved the optimality of threshold policies (asymmetric in general)

Our main results

Focus on competitive behavior

- I. Obtain the structure of security and Nash equilibrium policies collision channel without and with capture
- 2. Establish a connection with optimal quantization theory
- 3. Policy design using the Lloyd-Max algorithm

Part I. The collision channel without capture



Assume DM2 transmits with prob. I - selfish behavior

Worst case scenario for DMI

Estimator I only receives $Y_1 \in \{\emptyset, \mathfrak{C}\}$

Security policies

When the channel is always occupied by the opponent:

Best communication policy

Best estimation policy



The security policy is determined by the optimal 1 bit quantizer

Security policies

Proposition 1: A security policy for DM*i* in the game over the collision channel has a single threshold structure of the form $\gamma_i^{\text{sec}}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i \ge 0; \\ 0, & x_i < 0. \end{cases}$

If both DMs use security policies, their incurred costs are:

$$J_i^{\text{sec}} = \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \sigma_i^2$$

Security policies

Example:

$$\sigma_1^2 = 1 \qquad J_1(\gamma_1^{\text{sec}}, \gamma_2^{\text{self}}, \eta_1^{\text{sec}}) = 0.3634 \qquad J_1^{\text{sec}} = \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) \sigma_1^2 = 0.2725$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = 2 \qquad J_2(\gamma_2^{\text{self}}, \gamma_1^{\text{sec}}, \eta_2^{\text{self}}) = 1 \qquad J_2^{\text{sec}} = \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) \sigma_2^2 = 0.5450$$

A security policy accesses the channel with probability $\beta = 0.5$

Question: What is the structure of the optimal communication policy when the channel is occupied with probability $\beta < 1$?

Structure of Nash equilibrium policies

Analysis from the perspective of a single DM

Assume the opponent transmits with probability $\,eta$



 $J(\gamma, \eta) = E[(X - \hat{x}_0)^2 | U = 0] \Pr(U = 0) + E[\beta(X - \hat{x}_1)^2 | U = 1] \Pr(U = 1)$

Binary quantization with asymmetric distortion

Structure of Nash equilibrium policies

$$J(\mathbb{A}_0, \hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_0} (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{A}_0} \beta (x - \hat{x}_1)^2 f_X(x) dx$$

Necessary optimality condition: $x \in \mathbb{A}_0^* \Leftrightarrow (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 \leq \beta (x - \hat{x}_1)^2$

$$p(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 - \beta (x - \hat{x}_1)^2$$

$$\mathbb{A}_0^* = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid p(x) \le 0 \}$$
$$p''(x) \ge 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathbb{A}_0^* \text{ is a convex set}$$

Theorem 1:

The Nash equilibrium policies for the game over the collision channel without capture have the following threshold structure

$$\gamma^{\text{nash}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau_1 \leq x \leq \tau_2; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Design via Lloyd-Max Algorithm

I. From a pair of representation points compute the roots of p(x)

$$\hat{x}^{(k)} = (\hat{x}_0^{(k)}, \hat{x}_1^{(k)})$$

$$\tau_1(\hat{x}^{(k)}) = \frac{\hat{x}_0^{(k)} + \sqrt{\beta}\hat{x}_1^{(k)}}{1 + \sqrt{\beta}}$$

$$\tau_2(\hat{x}^{(k)}) = \frac{\hat{x}_0^{(k)} - \sqrt{\beta}\hat{x}_1^{(k)}}{1 - \sqrt{\beta}}$$

(L)

-(h)

2. The new representation points are the centroids of $\mathbb{A}_0^{(k)}, \mathbb{A}_1^{(k)} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{A}_0^{(k)}$

$$\mathbb{A}_{0}^{(k)} = \left[\tau_{1}(\hat{x}^{(k)}), \tau_{2}(\hat{x}^{(k)})\right] \qquad \qquad \hat{x}^{(k+1)} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{A}_{0}^{(k)}} f_{X}(x)dx} \\ \frac{-1}{1 - \int_{\mathbb{A}_{0}^{(k)}} f_{X}(x)dx} \end{array}\right] \int_{\mathbb{A}_{0}^{(k)}} x f_{X}(x)dx$$

This algorithm converges globally to a local minimum

Design via Lloyd-Max Algorithm



Example 2: $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,2)$

$$\gamma_1^{\text{nash}}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0, +0.2736 \le x_1 \le +6.6828; \\ 1, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad J_1^{\text{nash}} = 0.2786 \\ J_2^{\text{nash}}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 0, +0.3869 \le x_2 \le +9.4510; \\ 1, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad J_2^{\text{nash}} = 0.5573 \end{cases}$$

Remarks

I. The structural result is independent of the densities of X_1, X_2

2. The convergence of the Lloyd-Max algorithm depends on the pdfs

3. The Nash equilibrium policies perform worse than the security ones:

$$J_1^{\text{nash}} = 0.2786$$
 $J_1^{\text{sec}} = 0.2725$
 $J_2^{\text{nash}} = 0.5573$ $J_2^{\text{sec}} = 0.5450$

There is an incentive to be conservative even in the absence of communication costs

Part II. Collision channel with capture



<u>Capture mechanism</u>: In case of a collision, the packet transmitted with the highest power captures the channel and the other is lost.

Allow DMs to choose among 3 power levels: $U_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

Cost functional must take into account the communication cost:

$$J_i^{\operatorname{cap}}(\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \eta_i) = E[(X_i - \hat{X}_i)^2 + \rho U_i]$$

Part II. Collision channel with capture



$$\begin{split} U &= 0 \Rightarrow Y = \varnothing \qquad & \eta(X) = X \\ Pr(D = i) &= \beta_i, \ i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \qquad & U > 0, D < U \Rightarrow Y = X \qquad & \eta(\varnothing) = \hat{x}_0 \\ U &= 1, D \ge 1 \Rightarrow Y = \mathfrak{C}_1 \qquad & \eta(\mathfrak{C}_1) = \hat{x}_1 \\ U &= 2, D = 2 \Rightarrow Y = \mathfrak{C}_2 \qquad & \eta(\mathfrak{C}_2) = \hat{x}_2 \end{split}$$

$$J^{cap}(\gamma, \eta) = E[(X - \hat{x}_0)^2 | U = 0] \Pr(U = 0) + E[(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(X - \hat{x}_1)^2 + \rho | U = 1] \Pr(U = 1) + E[\beta_2(X - \hat{x}_2)^2 + 2\rho | U = 2] \Pr(U = 2)$$

Ternary quantization problem with asymmetric distortion

Security Policies

Worst case scenario: the opponent always transmits with full power

 $\beta_2 = 1$

$$J^{\rm cap} = \int_{\mathbb{A}_0} (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{A}_1} [(x - \hat{x}_1)^2 + \rho] f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{A}_2} [(x - \hat{x}_2)^2 + 2\rho] f_X(x) dx$$

Necessary optimality conditions:

 $x \in \mathbb{A}_0^* \Leftrightarrow h_1(x) \le 0, h_2(x) \le 0 \qquad h_1(x) = 2x(\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_0) - (\hat{x}_1^2 - \hat{x}_0^2 + \rho) \\ x \in \mathbb{A}_1^* \Leftrightarrow h_1(x) > 0, h_3(x) \le 0 \qquad h_2(x) = 2x(\hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_0) - (\hat{x}_2^2 - \hat{x}_0^2 + 2\rho) \\ x \in \mathbb{A}_2^* \Leftrightarrow h_2(x) > 0, h_3(x) > 0 \qquad h_3(x) = 2x(\hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_1) - (\hat{x}_2^2 - \hat{x}_1^2 + \rho)$

$\mathbb{A}_i^*, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ are convex

Theorem 2:

The security policy for the game over the collision channel with capture is determined by a regular quantizer (convex quantization regions).

Nash equilibrium policies

$$J^{cap} = \int_{\mathbb{A}_0} (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{A}_1} [(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(x - \hat{x}_1)^2 + \rho] f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{A}_2} [\beta_2 (x - \hat{x}_1)^2 + 2\rho] f_X(x) dx$$
ry optimality conditions:

Necessary optimality conditions:

 $x \in \mathbb{A}_0^* \Leftrightarrow p_1(x) \le 0, \ p_2(x) \le 0$ $x \in \mathbb{A}_1^* \Leftrightarrow p_1(x) > 0, \ p_3(x) \le 0$

$$p_1(x) = (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 - (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(x - \hat{x}_1)^2 - \rho$$

$$p_2(x) = (x - \hat{x}_0)^2 - \beta_2(x - \hat{x}_2)^2 - 2\rho$$

$$p_3(x) = (\beta_2 + \beta_1)(x - \hat{x}_2)^2 + \rho - \beta_2(x - \hat{x}_2)^2 - 2\rho$$

$$p_i''(x) \ge 0 \Rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid p_i(x) \le 0\}$$
 is a convex set

 \mathbb{A}_0^* is the intersection of two convex sets $\Rightarrow \mathbb{A}_0^*$ is convex

 \mathbb{A}_1^* is the set difference of two convex sets $\Rightarrow \mathbb{A}_1^*$ is the union of at most two convex sets

Theorem 3: The structure of a Nash equilibrium policy for the game over the collision channel with capture is $\gamma^{nash}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau_1 \leq x \leq \tau_2 \\ 1, & \text{if } \tau_3 \leq x \leq \tau_4 \text{ or } \tau_5 \leq x \leq \tau_6 \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Examples

Example I:

 $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \beta_2 = 1$

Example 2:

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \beta_1 = 0.25, \beta_2 = 0.125$$



Conclusion

- Two new problems in networked estimation/control:
 - Collision channel without capture absence of communication costs
 - Collision channel with capture presence of communication costs
- Obtained the structure of security and Nash equilibria policies
- Results rely on optimal quantization theory with asymmetric distortion
- Several open problems:
 - Existence of optimal quantizers
 - Uniqueness of Nash equilibrium policies
 - Convergence of the Lloyd-Max algorithm
 - Dynamic games and many more!